

Certificate of Analysis

Reference Material OxQ115

Recommended Gold Concentration: 25.22 µg/g

95% Confidence Interval: +/- 0.18 µg/g

The above values apply only to product in jars or sachets which have an identification number within the following range: **317 848– 318 699.**

Prepared and Certified By:

Malcolm Smith BSc, FNZIC
Rocklabs Reference Materials
40 Oakford Park Crescent, Greenhithe
Auckland 0632
NEW ZEALAND
Email: Malcolm@MSRML.co.nz
Telephone: +64 9 444 3534

Date of Certification:

26 June 2013

Certificate Status:

Original

Available Packaging:

This reference material has been packed in wide-mouthed jars that contain 2.5 kg of product. The contents of some jars may be subsequently repacked into sealed polyethylene sachets.

Origin of Reference Material:

Basalt and feldspar minerals with minor quantities of finely divided gold-containing minerals that have been screened to ensure there is no gold nugget effect.

Supplier of Reference Material:

ROCKLABS
P O Box 18 142
Glen Innes
Auckland 1743
NEW ZEALAND
Email: reference-materials@rocklabs.com
Website: www.rocklabs.com

Description:

The reference material is a light grey powder that has been well mixed and a homogeneity test carried out after the entire batch was packaged into wide-mouthed jars. There is no soil component. The product contains crystalline quartz and therefore dust from it should not be inhaled.

The approximate chemical composition is:
(Uncertified Values)

	%
SiO ₂	60.50
Al ₂ O ₃	17.27
Na ₂ O	5.08
K ₂ O	6.06
CaO	3.00
MgO	2.77
TiO ₂	0.75
MnO	0.05
P ₂ O ₅	0.21
Fe ₂ O ₃	3.99
L O I	0.00

Intended Use:

This reference material is designed to be included with every batch of samples analysed and the results plotted for quality monitoring and assessment purposes.

Stability:

The container (jar or sachet) should not be heated to temperatures higher than 50 °C. The reference material is stable, with weight changes of less than 0.5 % at extremes of naturally occurring temperature and humidity conditions.

Method of Preparation:

Pulverized basalt rock and feldspar minerals were blended with finely pulverized and screened gold-containing minerals. Once the powders were uniformly mixed the composite was placed into 852 wide-mouthed jars, each bearing a unique number. 24 jars were randomly selected from the packaging run and material from these jars was used for both homogeneity and consensus testing.

Homogeneity Assessment:

Sampling was performed by Rocklabs Reference Materials and an independent laboratory carried out gold analysis by fire assay of 30 g portions, using a gravimetric finish. Steps were taken to minimize laboratory method variation in order to better detect any variation in the candidate reference material.

Homogeneity: A sample was removed from the top of each of the 24 jars randomly selected from the 852 jars in the batch. The results of analysis of the 24 samples (randomly ordered then consecutively numbered before being sent to the laboratory) produced a relative standard deviation of 0.4%.

Settling: The contents of 3 randomly selected jars were compacted by vibration (to simulate the effect of freighting) and 5 samples were removed successively from top to bottom from each jar. In addition, 5 samples were removed from the last jar in the series. No top to bottom gradation in the gold values was observed neither was there a significant difference between the last jar and the other jars.

Analytical Methodology:

Once homogeneity had been established, two sub-samples were submitted to a number of well-recognized laboratories in order to assign a gold value by consensus testing. The sub-samples were drawn from 24 randomly selected jars and each laboratory received samples from two different jars.

Participating laboratories analysed the samples by fire assay followed by either gravimetric or instrument finish (AAS or ICP). Indicative concentration ranges were provided. Some laboratories analysed the samples twice using both methods. In this situation both sets of results were presented separately, and included in the statistical analysis. The amount of sample used in the analyses varied between laboratories (range 15 - 50g).

Calculation of Certified Value:

As some laboratories returned results for two different methods, 57 sets of gold results were returned from 52 laboratories. Statistical analysis to identify outliers was carried out using the principles detailed in sections 7.3.2 – 7.3.4, ISO 5725-2: 1994. Assessment of each laboratory's performance was carried out on the basis of z-scores, partly based on the concept described in ISO/IEC Guide 43-1. Details of the criteria used in these examinations are available on request. As a result of these statistical analyses, 11 sets of results were excluded for the purpose of assigning a gold concentration value to this reference material. A recommended value was thus calculated from the average of the remaining $n = 46$ sets of replicate results. The 95% confidence interval was estimated using the formula:-

$$X \pm ts/\sqrt{n}$$

(where X is the estimated average, s is the estimated standard deviation of the laboratory averages, and t is the 0.025 tail-value from Student's t-distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom). The recommended value is provided at the beginning of the certificate in $\mu\text{g/g}$ (ppm) units. A summary of the results used to calculate the recommended value is listed on page 4 and the names of the laboratories that submitted results are listed on page 5. The results are listed in increasing order of the individual laboratory averages.

Statistical analysis of the consensus test results has been carried out by independent statistician, Tim Ball.

Summary of Results Used to Calculate Gold Value

(Listed in increasing order of individual laboratory averages)

Gold (ppm)		
Sample 1	Sample 2	Set Average
23.85	23.74	23.794
24.126	23.898	24.012
24.4	24.0	24.20
23.9	24.7	24.30
24.404	24.342	24.373
24.8	24.2	24.50
24.7	24.5	24.60
25.0	24.4	24.70
24.64	24.78	24.708
24.660	24.800	24.730
24.842	24.805	24.824
24.50	25.15	24.825
24.6	25.05	24.825
24.9	24.8	24.85
24.86	25.01	24.933
25.04	24.92	24.980
25.279	24.713	24.996
25.00	25.21	25.106
25.02	25.20	25.110
25.3	25.1	25.20
25.251	25.186	25.219
25.29	25.19	25.240
25.4	25.1	25.25
25.16	25.4	25.280
25.290	25.365	25.328
25.1	25.6	25.35
25.36	25.43	25.394
25.20	25.62	25.408
25.272	25.581	25.427
25.4	25.5	25.45
25.4	25.5	25.45
25.5	25.6	25.55
25.5	25.6	25.55
25.7	25.6	25.65
25.55	25.85	25.700
25.8	25.6	25.70
26.0	25.5	25.75
26.0	25.5	25.75
25.9	25.9	25.90
25.9	25.9	25.90
26.30	25.55	25.925
25.9	26.0	25.95
26.1	25.8	25.95
25.96	26.30	26.130
26.15	26.13	26.140
26.7	26.0	26.35
Average of 46 sets	=	25.22 ppm
Standard deviation of 46 sets	=	0.59 ppm
Relative standard deviation	=	2.3 %
95% Confidence interval for average	=	+/- 0.18 ppm

Note: Neither the Standard deviation nor the Confidence interval should be used as a basis to set control limits when plotting individual laboratory results. See notes under "Instructions and Recommendations for Use" (pg 6)

Participating Laboratories

Australia	ALS Minerals, Kalgoorlie ALS Minerals, Perth ALS Minerals, Townsville Bureau Veritas Amdel, Adelaide Bureau Veritas Amdel, Kalgoorlie Bureau Veritas Geoanalytical, West Kalgoorlie Bureau Veritas, Mt Isa, Queensland Intertek Genalysis Laboratory Services, Perth
Burkina Faso	ALS Minerals, Burkina Faso SEMAFO Burkina Faso S.A.
Canada	Acme Analytical Laboratories, Vancouver ALS Minerals, Val-d'Or ALS Minerals, Vancouver Bourlamaque, Quebec Loring Laboratories (Alberta) Ltd, Calgary SGS Minerals Services, Lakefield SGS Minerals Services, Vancouver Techni-Lab S.G.B. Abitibi Inc/Actlabs, Val d'Or Techni-Lab S.G.B. Abitibi Inc/Actlabs, Ste-Germaine-Boule TSL Laboratories Inc, Saskatoon
Chile	Acme Analytical Laboratories, Santiago ALS Minerals, Santiago
Côte d'Ivoire	Bureau Veritas Mineral Laboratories, Abidjan
Ghana	ALS Minerals, Kumasi
Ireland	ALS Minerals, Loughrea
Kyrgyz Republic	Stewart Assay and Environmental Laboratories LLC, Kara-Balta
Mali	ALS Minerals, Bamako
Namibia	Bureau Veritas- Mineral Laboratories, Swakopmund
New Zealand	SGS New Zealand Ltd, Otago SGS New Zealand Ltd, Reefton SGS New Zealand Ltd, Waihi
Peru	ALS Minerals, Lima Inspectorate Services Perú S.A.C., Callao Minera Yanacocha SRL – Newmont, Lima
Romania	ALS Minerals, Rosia Montana
South Africa	AB Analytical Laboratory Services, Boksburg ALS Minerals, Edenvale Gold Fields West Wits Analytical Laboratory, Carletonville Intertek Minerals, Johannesburg Performance Laboratories, Barberton Performance Laboratories, Randfontein Performance Laboratories, Allanridge
Turkey	Acme Analitik Laboratuar Hizmetleri Ltd, Sirketi ALS Minerals, Izmir
United Kingdom	Inspectorate International, Essex
USA	ALS Minerals, Reno Barrick Goldstrike – Met Services, Nevada Inspectorate, Sparks Newmont Mining Corporation, Carlin Newmont Mining Corporation, Lone Tree Newmont Mining Corporation, Twin Creeks
Zimbabwe	Performance Laboratories, Ruwa

Instructions and Recommendations for Use:

Weigh out quantity usually used for analysis, and analyse for total gold by normal procedure. Homogeneity testing has shown that consistent results are obtainable for gold when 30g portions are taken for analysis.

We quote a 95% confidence interval for our estimate of the declared value. This confidence interval reflects our uncertainty in estimating the true value for the gold content of the reference material. The interval is chosen such that, if the same procedure as used here to estimate the declared value were used again and again, then 95% of the trials would give intervals that contained the true value. It is a reflection of how precise the trial has been in estimating the declared value. It **does not** reflect the variability any particular laboratory will experience in its own repetitive testing.

Some users in the past have misinterpreted this confidence interval as a guide as to how different an individual test result should be from the declared value. Some mistakenly use this interval, or the standard deviation from the consensus test, to set limits for control charts on their own routine test results using the reference material. Such use inevitably leads to many apparent out-of-control points, leading to doubts about the laboratory's testing, or of the reference material itself.

A much better way of determining the laboratory performance when analysing the reference material is to accumulate a history of the test results obtained, and plot them on a control chart. The appropriate centre line and control limits for this chart should be based on the average level and variability exhibited in the laboratory's **own** data. This chart will provide a clear picture of the long-term stability or otherwise of the laboratory testing process, providing good clues as to the causes of any problems. To help our customers do this, we can provide a free Excel template that will produce sensible graphs, with intelligently chosen limits, from the customer's own data.

Legal Notice:

This certificate and the reference material described in it have been prepared with due care and attention. However ROCKLABS Ltd, Scott Technology Ltd and Tim Ball Ltd accept no liability for any decisions or actions taken following the use of the reference material.

References:

For further information on the preparation and validation of this reference material please contact Malcolm Smith.

Certifying Officer



M G Smith BSc, FNZIC

Independent Statistician



Tim Ball BSc (Hons)